



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,617	07/20/2001	Yuichiro Ikemoto	09792909-5094	2179
26263 7	590 04/07/2003			
	HEIN NATH & ROS	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 061 WACKER DR CHICAGO, IL	IVE STATION	NGUYEN, THINH H		
CHICAGO, IL	00000-1080		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2861	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•			IKEMOTO ET AL.	8.		
Office Action Summary		09/910,617	Art Unit			
	<i></i>	Examiner Think I Names	2861			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Thinh H Nguyen pears on the cov r sh et with the c		••		
Period fo			•			
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failur - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) Th	is action is non-final.				
3)						
Dispositi	ion of Claims	Ex parte Quayre, 1933 O.D. 11, 4	700 0.0. 210.			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,11-14,18,19 and 24-27</u> is/are p	ending in the application.				
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>11,12 and 24-27</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,13-14,18,19</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[] acce	pted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.				
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	**				
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
C Datast and 7	radomark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/910,617

Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Shimizu et al. (EP 0968832)

Shimizu (figs.3, 12A, 12B) discloses every element of the instant claimed ink jet printer, having a print head 23 comprises a plurality of head units 231, 232 and 233 that partly overlap at least one direction to form an overlapped area Q on a print object wherein each adjacent pair of the overlapping nozzles form one dot at shade levels complementarily to reduce irregularities in density.

Re claims 3 and 4, the ink ejecting mechanism 2 is driven in a boundary P is set in the overlapped zone Q, a spot in the overlapped area is allocated to the head chip cover either side of the overlapped area, and the boundary is shifted. (see fig.3; paragraphs 0025-0034)

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/910,617

Art Unit: 2861

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6-7, 13-14, and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu et al. in view of Allen et al. (U.S.5,469,199)

Shimizu discloses the instant claimed subject matter except for showing the nozzle plate which is inherently associated with most printheads.

Allen et al. (col.4, lines 38-49) discloses the instant claimed nozzle plate 18 which may either contains a single head unit (group of nozzles) 20 or a number of head units or the nozzle plate can be extended almost as wide as the print object anywhere between approximately one-sixth inch and twelve inches.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the nozzle plate in Shimizu's printhead as such plate is inherently associated with most printheads. Further, the purpose of using the extended nozzle plate taught by Allen in Shimizu is to achieve precise alignment of the orifices which are arranged in the stacked manner as shown in figure 3.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 11-12, 24-25, 26-27 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/910,617

Art Unit: 2861

4. The teaching relied upon by the Examiner suggest the printhead having multiple nozzle arrays. However, each nozzle array does not associate with different ink color as claimed.

Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on July 2, 2002, and December 16, 2002 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 609(B)(2)(i). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

In response to the Applicant arguments that Allen's nozzles are not positioned side by side in a linear array (col.3, 5-14), the Examiner disagreed.

Allen (col.3, lines 6-13) discussed linear arrays in overlapping pattern where precise alignment can be achieved as opposed to the prior art nozzles arranged side by side in a linear array which suffers alignment difficulty.

Page 5

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-7487.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

(س

Thinh Nguyen

April 4, 2003

Thinh Nguyen Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800